COMPARISON OF DISPOSAL SYSTEMS May 2012 Compiled by Thompson Transfer Station Advisory Committee

Factors to Consider: Reduce/Reuse/Recycle and Environmental Impact/Equity/Efficiency

SYSTEM:	Pros:	Cons:
CURRENT	Permit fees and other fees can be adjusted	
SYSTEM	by Board of Selectmen	
	Traffic flow works well	Bags not randomly checked
	Swap Shack, Boy Scout cans	
	Free mulch to residents	
	Citizen commitment and community spirit	
	Fundraising site	
	Recycling is at a fairly good rate	Recycling could improve
		Need to recycle more items, balance efficiency with
	Variety of materials taken	environmental concerns
	Educational tour for children	
	Have capital equipment & contracts	
	for best return/lowest cost	
	This system allows reasonable disposal,	
	incentive not to litter and helps eliminate	
	roadside dumping.	
	2 employees	
Pay As You		No conservation of petroleum resources, as bags are one of the
Throw - BAG	Compliance of over-users	most intensive uses of oil
		Extra bags will enter the waste stream, as no re-use of existing
		bags will be allowed to dispose of MSW
		Cost of bags, storage, distribution, paperwork
		Theft, damage by rodents or vandals
		More roadside trash possible, people will learn to avoid
		identifying papers in trash
		Compacters purchased by those who can afford them. This will
		increase cost per bag in future
		Our recycling rate is higher than most towns with PAYT
		Town costs may increase for picking up roadside trash
		Roadside Clean-up volunteers would need to pay per bag
		Will probably be pressured to install single stream recycling,
		resulting in loss of revenue
		Lower permit fees may result in loss of revenue
		No reuse of existing bags
		All bags should be inspected to be sure of compliance, possibly
		resulting in loss of revenue
		No information available on average consumer cost
		Cost for vendors or vending machine, availability of bags without travel (consumer fuel cost)
		Charged by volume, not weight
		onargoa by volume, not weight

Pay As You		
Throw -	Allows re-use of existing bags: feed bags,	
STICKER	shopping bags, etc.	Raises cost
		Staff time to check tags, slows traffics
		Volume, not weight, as base
		Sale of stickers, cost of stickers, paperwork and money handling
		Travel to obtain stickers (consumer fuel cost)
		Stickers should be available at Transfer Station: vending
		machine or staff time
TOWN-WIDE	May increase recycled material for sale by	Increased taxes due to expenses: man-hours, fuel, scale if
PICK-UP	Town	weight system, bags if bag system, billing
Weight or Bag		Costs of bags or container (see concerns under bag system)
		Possible revenue loss if single stream recycling is used
		No venue for fundraisers
		Large or unusual items difficult: sofas, metal, leaves
		If hired one company to do town-wide pickup = loss of revenue
		from recycling
		May affect amount of recycling
WEIGHT	Fairest-how we pay to send it on	Time intensive for staff, may need more staff
WEIGHT	r anest new we pay to send it on	How do we bill, handle money?
	Platform scale is not expensive	Equipment needed
	Flation scale is not expensive	Slows traffic flow
		Lower permit fee may result in loss of revenue
		Lower permit ree may result in ress of revenue
CLOSING	Reduces budget: tipping fees, man-hours	, Cost to citizens who use the Transfer Station will be increased
TRANSFER	equipment	by three-fold or more
STATION		Less recycling, depending on hauler
		Loss of venue for Girl Scouts, etc., to hold fundraisers
		Loss of community spirit, uproar from citizens who utilize this
		service
		Roadside trash
		How would the Town dispose of self-generated trash and
		roadside trash?
		Possible decrease of roadside clean-up volunteers